

5 The Examiner also stated that the drawings are objected to because there are two figures labeled "FIG. 8a", it is believed that the figure on Drawing Sheet No. 2 was intended to be labeled Figure 9, because it corresponds to the brief description in Applicant's specification. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

10 Furthermore, the Examiner stated that the drawings are objected to because there are two figures labeled FIG. 12" on Drawing sheet No. 4. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

15 **Response:**

20 The Applicant has attached corrected drawings to address the Examiner's comments. Applicant contends that these drawings were submitting in the 04 October 2002 preliminary amendment (mailed 25 September 2002) and is attaching these drawings. Here, drawing Sheet No. 1 removed any reference to Figs. 2a, 3a, 5a, and 6a, drawing Sheet No. 2 amends Fig 9 appropriately, and drawing sheet No. 4 amends the duplicative Fig. 12. The Applicant believes that the corrected drawings now overcome the Examiner's objections.